ISSN: 2349-6762
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.56)
Journal Website www.nrjbfm.in

COMPLIANCE WITH INDIAN ACCOUNTING STANDARD (IND AS) 7: A STUDY OF CASH FLOW STATEMENTS OF NSE NIFTY-50 LISTED COMPANIES IN INDIA

Raghavendra S

Research Scholar
Department of Commerce, Shushruti Institute of Management Studies,
68, Shrushruti Nagar, Andrahalli Main Road,
Peenya 2nd stage, Bangalore

Sreenivas D.L.

Department of Commerce, Shushruti Institute of Management Studies,
68, Shrushruti Nagar, Andrahalli Main Road,
Peenya 2nd stage, Bangalore

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the extent to which companies listed in the NSE NIFTY-50 index comply with Indian Accounting Standard (Ind AS) 7, which governs the preparation and presentation of cash flow statements. The cash flow statement is a crucial component of financial reporting, offering insights into a company's liquidity, solvency, and cash-generating capacity. Despite clear guidelines in Ind AS 7, variations in interpretation and disclosure practices persist among Indian corporates. This paper analyses compliance patterns over a five-year period through detailed case studies of four companies across different sectors. The research highlights both strengths and shortcomings in adherence to Ind AS 7, identifies major challenges, and provides actionable recommendations. The findings aim to assist regulators, auditors, and preparers in enhancing the quality and consistency of financial disclosures under Ind AS.

Keywords : Ind AS 7, Statement of Cash Flows, Compliance, NSE NIFTY-50, Operating, Investing, Financing Activities, Non-Cash Transactions , Financial Disclosure , Corporate Governance

1. INTRODUCTION

With the adoption of Ind AS (Indian Accounting Standards) in line with IFRS, India took a significant step toward global financial reporting convergence. Ind AS 7, specifically, mandates companies to provide a clear picture of their cash inflows and outflows, categorized into operating, investing, and financing activities. This classification is essential for users of financial statements to evaluate the company's ability to generate cash, meet obligations, and fund growth.

In practice, however, the degree of compliance with Ind AS 7 varies among companies. Differences are observed in the classification of cash flows, treatment of non-cash transactions, and disclosures regarding financing liabilities and foreign currency transactions.

The companies listed in the NSE NIFTY-50 index represent a significant portion of India's corporate landscape. A compliance study of these entities provides an insight into current practices, challenges, and areas of improvement in financial reporting under Ind AS 7.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Several past studies have emphasized the importance of the cash flow statement as a key financial tool for stakeholders. While most large Indian corporations comply with the mandatory presentation format, the level of detailed disclosures varies significantly. Past research has also identified issues with:

- Inconsistent classification of interest paid and received.
- Omission or vague disclosure of non-cash items.
- Lack of proper reconciliation of changes in financing liabilities.
- Inadequate reporting of foreign currency adjustments.

The literature also suggests that while accounting standards provide guidelines, interpretation and implementation often depend on internal company policies and auditor perspectives.

However, few studies have provided a sector-wise analysis or focused exclusively on NIFTY-50 companies using recent data. This study attempts to fill that gap through detailed case-based analysis.

3. Research Methodology

- **Research Design:** Descriptive and analytical.
- **Sample:** Four companies from the NSE NIFTY-50 representing different industries—IT, manufacturing, banking, and consumer goods.
- **Time Frame:** Five financial years (FY 2018-19 to FY 2022-23).
- **Data Sources:** Publicly available annual reports and financial statements.
- **Assessment Tool:** A structured compliance checklist based on key Ind AS 7 requirements.

The checklist includes:

1. Method of cash flow preparation (direct or indirect)
2. Classification of interest and dividends
3. Disclosure of non-cash transactions
4. Reconciliation of changes in financing liabilities
5. Reporting of foreign currency cash flows
6. Clarity in classification of operating, investing, and financing activities

4. CASE STUDIES

4.1. Case Study 1: Infosys Ltd (Information Technology Sector)

Infosys consistently uses the indirect method for preparing its cash flow statement. The classification of interest received under investing activities and interest paid under financing is largely in accordance with Ind AS 7. The company also provides detailed notes on non-cash transactions such as share-based payments and deferred tax assets/liabilities.

Observations:

- High level of transparency and disclosure.
- Proper segregation of activities.

- Full compliance with reconciliation of financing liabilities.

4.2. Case Study 2: Reliance Industries Ltd (Conglomerate / Manufacturing Sector)

Reliance follows the indirect method and provides a comprehensive cash flow statement. However, classification of certain items, such as proceeds from borrowings and repayment of loans, varies year to year. Foreign currency effects are disclosed but with limited detail.

Observations:

- Slight inconsistencies in classification.
- Adequate disclosure of non-cash items like issuance of bonus shares.
- Partial disclosure of financing liabilities reconciliation.

4.3. Case Study 3: HDFC Bank Ltd (Banking Sector)

Banks have complex cash flows, particularly around interest income and foreign exchange. HDFC Bank presents cash flow statements in line with Ind AS 7, but classification of interest received and paid under operating activities differs from non-financial firms due to industry norms.

Observations:

- Sector-specific interpretations influence compliance.
- Foreign currency cash flows and income tax cash flows clearly presented.
- Non-cash transaction disclosures are minimal.

4.4. Case Study 4: Hindustan Unilever Ltd (Consumer Goods Sector)

HUL follows consistent classification in its statements. Interest paid is classified under financing and dividends under financing, which aligns with standard expectations. Non-cash transactions such as depreciation and amortization are disclosed, but changes in financing liabilities are not always reconciled in detail.

Observations:

- High consistency in presentation.
- Strong on basic compliance, weaker in detailed disclosures.
- Limited insight into non-cash and financing liabilities.

5. Observations on Compliance

From the case studies and comparative analysis across the sample, the following observations emerge:

Item	**Level of Compliance (High / Medium / Low)**	**Typical Deviations / Non-Compliances**
Method used (Direct vs Indirect)	Almost all use **Indirect**, direct rarely used.	No use of direct method though allowed under Ind AS 7, indirect preferred for simplicity.
Classification of interest paid / interest received / dividends	Many disclose interest & dividends, but classifications differ (some include interest under operating, others	Some companies mix interest paid under operating vs financing etc.

 ISSN: 2349-6762
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.56)
Journal Website www.nrjbfm.in

Item	Level of Compliance (High / Medium / Low)	Typical Deviations / Non-Compliances
	financing).	
Foreign currency cash flows	Disclosed in notes, but sometimes the effect of exchange rates is aggregated rather than broken out.	Insufficient detail in how exchange differences are treated; sometimes netting off.
Non-cash transactions	Often disclosed in notes, but sometimes not clearly; some items missing.	E.g., conversion of debt to equity, share-based payments etc.
Changes in liabilities arising from financing activities	Mixed compliance; many do not provide full reconciliation.	Some provide partial schedules, others minimal disclosure.
Components of cash & cash equivalents	Generally well handled, but restricted cash or bank overdrafts sometimes mis-classified.	Some companies include restricted balances, others do not; at times ambiguous.
Presentation of taxes on income, and interest & dividends received/paid	Varying approaches; some companies comply, others are vague.	Lack of separation, unclear whether taxes are operating or investing/financing.

6. KEY LEARNINGS

1. Preference for Indirect Method Due to Simplicity

Nearly all companies in the NIFTY-50 sample prefer the **indirect method** for preparing cash flow statements. This method adjusts net profit for non-cash transactions and changes in working capital to arrive at cash from operations. The choice is largely due to its **ease of implementation**, minimal system changes, and **familiarity among accountants**. Though Ind AS 7 permits the use of the direct method (which shows actual cash receipts and payments), it is rarely used due to its operational complexity and data collection requirements.

2. Variation in Depth and Clarity of Disclosures

While the companies generally comply with the structural requirements of Ind AS 7, the **quality and depth of disclosures vary** significantly. Some companies provide detailed notes explaining components of cash and cash equivalents, significant non-cash transactions, and reconciliations. Others, however, offer only the minimum required disclosures, often making it difficult for users to fully interpret the cash flow position. This indicates a **form-over-substance approach** in several instances.

3. Sectoral Differences Impact Classification Norms

Different sectors interpret certain classification requirements differently. For instance, **banks and financial institutions** often classify **interest received and interest paid** under operating activities, in line with their business operations. In contrast, **non-financial firms** typically classify them under investing and financing activities, respectively. This **sector-driven variation** is permitted under Ind AS 7 but reduces **comparability across industries**.

4. Reconciliation of Financing Liabilities Is a Weak Area

One of the key additions to Ind AS 7 (aligned with IFRS amendments) is the requirement to disclose a **reconciliation of liabilities arising from financing activities**. However, this remains a **compliance gap**. Many companies either do not include this reconciliation or provide an incomplete version. This compromises transparency in understanding how debt and equity financing flows change over time.

5. Better-Governed Companies Show Higher Compliance

Companies with **strong internal controls**, **robust audit mechanisms**, and **transparent governance frameworks** tend to demonstrate higher levels of compliance and better-quality disclosures. They treat Ind AS 7 not merely as a statutory requirement but as a tool for **financial transparency and investor communication**.

7. CHALLENGES IDENTIFIED

1. Interpretation Ambiguity

Despite detailed guidelines, **certain provisions in Ind AS 7 are open to interpretation**. This includes the classification of cash flows related to interest, dividends, taxes, and complex financial instruments. As a result, companies may **apply inconsistent logic**, leading to variations even among similar types of transactions. These grey areas create room for misclassification, potentially affecting the accuracy of financial analysis.

2. Inconsistent Auditor Practices

Different auditors have **varying thresholds and expectations** for Ind AS 7 compliance. While some auditors demand full reconciliation and extensive notes, others accept minimal disclosures. This inconsistency undermines the **standardization goal of Ind AS**, and creates **uneven reporting quality** across companies. Additionally, the emphasis on cash flow compliance in audits is often less rigorous than income statement or balance sheet items.

3. System Limitations

Many companies use legacy ERP systems that are **not configured to track non-cash transactions** or **generate automated financing reconciliations**. Manual intervention is often required to compile the cash flow statement, increasing the risk of **errors and omissions**. Real-time tracking of financing liabilities, especially in large firms with frequent borrowings and repayments, remains a **technological challenge**.

4. Lack of Training

Preparation of an accurate and Ind AS-compliant cash flow statement requires a **deep understanding of both accounting principles and the company's operational flows**. However, many finance teams, especially in non-finance-centric firms, are not adequately trained in Ind AS 7 nuances. This leads to **misinterpretation**, **incomplete reporting**, and over-reliance on auditors or consultants.

5. Limited Regulatory Enforcement

The current regulatory environment in India imposes **limited penalties** for non-compliance with cash flow reporting standards unless fraud or material misstatement is involved. This lack of strict enforcement reduces the incentive for companies to prioritize **complete and accurate disclosures**, especially for items that may not significantly impact earnings.

8. SUGGESTED SOLUTIONS

1. Standardized Templates

To address inconsistencies, **industry-specific model cash flow statement templates** should be developed and recommended by accounting bodies or regulators. These templates can provide guidance on classification of transactions (especially for ambiguous items) and expected note disclosures. This would promote **uniformity across sectors** and reduce misclassification.

2. Training Workshops

Organizing **regular training programs and workshops** for finance professionals, both at preparer and auditor levels, can improve understanding of Ind AS 7. These sessions should include practical case studies, sector-specific issues, and updates on recent changes. Incorporating Ind AS 7 modules into **CFO and finance leadership programs** would also enhance compliance culture.

3. Audit Enhancements

Audit firms should adopt **comprehensive Ind AS 7 checklists** and ensure cash flow statement review receives the same priority as the balance sheet and P&L. Auditors should verify the accuracy of classifications, reconciliation of financing liabilities, and adequacy of disclosures. Strengthening this process would elevate the **credibility of the reported cash flows**.

4. Technology Upgrades

Companies should invest in **modern ERP systems or financial reporting tools** that can automatically extract, classify, and reconcile cash flow items from general ledgers. Automation reduces manual effort and error rates, particularly for tracking non-cash movements and changes in financing liabilities. Integrating cash flow tracking with treasury and debt modules enhances data accuracy.

5. Peer Review Mechanisms

Setting up **peer benchmarking platforms**, especially among listed companies, can allow firms to compare disclosure practices and adopt **best-in-class approaches**. Regulators or industry associations could periodically publish compliance rankings or scorecards to motivate higher standards through **positive competition**.

6. Regulatory Guidance

The regulatory bodies should issue **periodic FAQs, interpretation bulletins, and illustrative disclosures** addressing known challenges under Ind AS 7. Areas like classification of lease payments, foreign exchange cash flows, and non-cash financing should be clarified. Additionally, **incorporating cash flow compliance checks** into routine review processes by SEBI or stock exchanges would boost adherence.

CONCLUSION

This study confirms that while the companies in the NIFTY-50 index broadly comply with Ind AS 7, significant differences remain in the depth, quality, and consistency of cash flow reporting. Companies with stronger corporate governance and audit practices display higher compliance levels. To improve the overall financial reporting environment, targeted interventions are necessary—from regulators, auditors, and companies themselves. By addressing the challenges and embracing the proposed solutions, Indian corporates can enhance transparency and stakeholder trust in financial reporting.

REFERENCES

1. Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA). **(2016). *Indian Accounting Standard (Ind AS) 7 – Statement of Cash Flows*. Government of India. https://www.mca.gov.in**
2. **Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI).** (2019). *Educational Material on Ind AS 7 – Statement of Cash Flows*. ICAI Publications. https://www.icai.org
3. **Mamatha, N.** (2023). Examining the Level of Compliance with Ind AS in Nifty 50 Companies: A Case Study Approach. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, Vol. 4(1), 70–84. https://www.granthaalayahpublication.org/Arts-Journal/ShodhKosh/article/view/4433
4. **Antima Sharma, Nisha Kalra & G. Soral.** (2020). Impact of IND AS on Accounting Numbers: An Analytical Study in Context of Indian Companies. *Journal of Commerce and Accounting Research*, Vol. 9(3), 36–45.
5. **Taxmann.** (2021). *Analysis of Ind AS 7: Errors, Best Practices, and Compliance Issues*. Retrieved from https://www.taxmann.com
6. **KPMG India.** (2022). *First Impressions: Ind AS 7 – Statement of Cash Flows*. KPMG Accounting Advisory Publication.
7. **Bansal, A., & Kaushik, V.** (2021). A Comparative Study of Ind AS and IFRS: A Focus on Cash Flow Reporting. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Management Research (IJAFMR)*, Vol. 11(1), 1–10.
8. **Reliance Industries Ltd.**, **Infosys Ltd.**, **HDFC Bank Ltd.**, **Hindustan Unilever Ltd.** (2019–2023). *Annual Reports.* Retrieved from their respective corporate investor relations websites.