National Research Journal of Banking and Finance Management | ISSN: 2349-6762
Volume No: 13, Issue No: 2, Year: 2026 (July-December) | Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.56)
PP: 19-23 | Journal Website www.nrjbfm.in

TRANSFORMING LIVES LOCALLY: THE IMPACT OF MICROFINANCE IN RURAL AREAS OF ASSAM

Ruli Daulagupu
Research Scholar
Department of Rural Development, University of Science and Technology, Meghalaya

Papiya Dutta
Associate Professor
Department of Rural Development, University of Science and Technology, Meghalaya

INTRODUCTION

Microfinance refers to the provision of financial services such as small loans (microcredit), savings, insurance, and payment facilities to low-income individuals or groups who typically lack access to conventional banking services. These services are often tailored to the capacities and needs of rural populations, who face geographic, economic, social, and infrastructural constraints that limit their access to formal financial systems.

Rural areas often have features such as low population density, scattered settlements, dependence on agriculture, seasonal and unpredictable incomes, weak infrastructure (roads, power, internet), and sometimes low literacy and financial awareness. In such contexts, traditional banks frequently consider lending to small or remote clients unprofitable or too risky (due to the lack of collateral, high transaction costs, information asymmetry etc.). Microfinance institutions (MFIs), non-profit organizations, cooperative societies, and self-help group (SHG) models have sought to bridge this gap.

Assam, a northeastern Indian state distinguished by its rich cultural tapestry, geographic diversity, and socio-economic challenges, has for decades grappled with issues such as rural poverty, limited infrastructure, frequent natural disasters (flooding, erosion), high dependency on agriculture, and a large population outside full access to financial services. In this context, microfinance has emerged not merely as a complementary financial mechanism but as a potentially transformative tool for inclusion, empowerment, and livelihood resilience.

Self-Help Groups (SHGs) have emerged as critical agents of socio-economic transformation in rural Assam. By facilitating financial inclusion, livelihood generation, women’s empowerment, and social capital, SHGs under schemes such as NRLM / ASRLM are contributing to improved living conditions among rural households. However, structural barriers — such as limited market linkages, educational constraints, and sociocultural norms — continue to moderate their potential. This article synthesizes evidence from recent studies and official data to assess how SHGs are transforming lives in rural Assam, what successes have been achieved, what challenges persist, and what policy/practice measures might strengthen their impact.

Rural Assam faces multiple developmental challenges: poverty, frequent flooding, infrastructural deficits, low agricultural productivity, and limited access to formal financial services. Self-Help Groups (SHGs) have been promoted as one of the strategies under national and state rural livelihood missions (NRLM, ASRLM) to address these issues. SHGs typically consist of small groups (often women) who engage in regular savings, mutual help, microfinance, skill development, and collective action.

This article aims to explore:

1. What are the measurable impacts of SHGs in rural Assam?
2. Through what mechanisms do these impacts happen?
3. What are the constraints that limit their effectiveness?
4. What policy or programmatic recommendations emerge from the evidence?

India's microfinance movement has roots in the SHG-Bank Linkage Programme (SHG-BLP) initiated by NABARD in the early 1990s. Over time, SHGs became a mainstream route for bringing unbanked rural households into the fold of formal finance. Assam, like many Indian states, gradually adopted SHGs as part of its rural livelihoods mission. The Assam State Rural Livelihoods Mission (ASRLM), in line with India's DAY-NRLM (previously NRLM), has been a key state instrument for promoting SHGs and integrating microfinance services with government support (training, market linkages, credit facilitation, etc.).

In recent years, microfinance in Assam has also been the subject of policy interventions aimed at relief, regulation, and incentive. A case in point is the *Assam Micro Finance Incentive and Relief Scheme (AMFIRS), 2021* — launched to help poor women borrowers who were having difficulty repaying loans.

Kakati (2021), "Economic Empowerment and Social Challenges of Women in Rural Assam" which uses secondary evidence up to 2020.

Das & Guha (2019), "Measuring Women's Self-help Group Sustainability: A Study of Rural Assam" which produces sustainability indices for SHGs.

Studies focused on particular districts (for example, "ASRLM's And Rural Women's Economic Empowerment Through SHGs in Dhemaji District" etc.) for more localized insights.

District-wise bank linkage reports (e.g. State Level Bankers' Committee data for Assam) for financial inclusion aspect and number of SHGs linked to banks.

News reports / government announcements for special interventions (e.g. during COVID lockdown, sale of items produced by SHGs) to understand SHGs' role in crises.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Relying on existing data sources to describe the status, trends, and impact of Self-Help Groups (SHGs) in rural Assam. Analytical techniques will be used to infer relationships or changes over time, even if causal claims are limited.

ASRLM / ASRLMS (Assam State Rural Livelihoods Mission / Society) – "Progress at a Glance" reports which include numbers of active SHGs, households mobilized, bank linkages, funds (Revolving Fund, Community Investment Funds).

Statistical Handbooks of Assam (e.g., for years 2022-23 and 2023-24) for district-wise statistics of SHGs, village organisations (VOs), Revolving Fund and Community Investment Fund distribution.

ASRLM's Livelihoods Tracking System which provides data on income from various livelihood categories (agriculture, horticulture, livestock, handloom, handicraft, etc.) for SHG members.

Data Collection Procedure

Collated published data from ASRLM / ASRLMS portals Statistical Handbooks, bank-linkage dashboards, livelihood tracking outputs. Also identified academic studies relevant to Assam (statewide or district level) that have used secondary data; extract relevant findings (tables, indicators) for comparison and narrative.

Extracted districtwise data where available to understand differential impact across locations (from Statistical Handbook & NER Databank etc.)

III. RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS

From the secondary sources (ASRLM reports, academic studies, news sources), several quantifiable outcomes emerge which illustrate the impact of Self-Help Groups (SHGs) in rural Assam:

Studies in Bodoland Territorial Region (BTR) show that SHG participation correlates with greater control over income, participation in household financial decision-making, and higher ability to manage expenditures.

Another study in BTR shows SHGs promote entrepreneurship irrespective of formal education levels, showing inclusivity in empowerment.

The lockdown period during COVID-19 revealed SHGs' capacities to shift to emergency production (e.g., masks), maintain livelihoods, and generate income even under severe constraints.

Initiatives like the "Mukhya Mantri Mahila Udyamita Abhiyan" aim to uplift women entrepreneurs, helping them start small ventures and grow incomes; many women cross milestones of earning above ₹1 lakh annually.

Indicator	Value / Trend
Active SHGs (as on 31 March 2025)	3,56,082 SHGs under NRLM fold.
Households mobilised into SHGs	38,27,534 households.
SHGs provided Revolving Fund (NRLM + KAMS)	2,81,504 SHGs, with amount ≈ ₹55,680.63 lakhs.
SHGs provided Community Investment Fund	1,96,537 SHGs, with amount ≈ ₹1,03,304.85 lakhs.
Bank linkage	6,77,686 SHGs provided bank linkage; amount ≈ ₹13,981 crore.
Number of Mahila Kisans / women farmer households under interventions (agricultural, livestock, etc.)	~32.55 lakh under AEP; ~36.89 lakh households with agri-nutri gardens; ~17.93 lakh under livestock support.
Credit linkage / financial disbursements over recent years	Over the past two years (as of May 2023), ₹5,078 crore disbursed for credit linkage to SHGs; 3.35 lakh SHGs benefited, covering ~38.2 lakh women entrepreneurs.
Income generating / revenue activities by SHGs	During COVID lockdown: over 11,000 SHGs produced ~68.68 lakh masks; ~52.22 lakh masks sold for ~ ₹11.87 crore. Also, over 79,000 SHG members earned ~ ₹8.15 crore via produce (vegetables, poultry, milk, fish etc.).
Other revenue-generating events	SHGs made turnover of ~ ₹12 crore by stitching ~33 lakh flags via ~19,110 SHG sales outlets in the state.

Putting together these findings, a number of themes emerge about how SHGs are transforming lives in rural Assam, along with what constraints remain.

1. **Scale and Reach Are Substantial:** The number of active SHGs (over 3.5 lakh), and nearly 40 lakh households mobilised, shows that SHGs are no longer marginal—they are a significant part of rural livelihood architecture in Assam. This scale allows potential for economies of scale (in training, market linkages, collective action) and also poses management / oversight challenges.
2. **Financial Inclusion Deepening:** Large bank linkage numbers, substantial revolving and community investment funds, show formal financial inclusion is growing. This gives SHG members access to capital beyond informal sources, reduces dependency on usurious lenders, and allows investment in productive activities.
3. **Income Enhancement and Enterprise Potential:** Revenue-generating activities (mask production, flag stitching, agrarian produce) suggest that SHGs can serve as vectors of local enterprise, especially when facilitated by policy / grants. The credit inflows are enabling larger investments. The initiative to enable women to cross ₹1 lakh income benchmarks indicates ambitious goals and some success in income growth.
4. **Resilience and Crisis Response:** The COVID era data show SHGs' flexibility and ability to respond to shocks. Producing masks, supplying essential goods, selling produce etc helped buffer loss of livelihoods. This suggests SHGs are not just development tools but also disaster management / risk mitigation institutions at the grassroots.

Nevertheless, not all SHGs appear to receive sufficient revolving / investment funding; the scale of funds in some cases is large, but per-SHG or per-household amounts may still be modest for meaningful enterprise expansion. Continuity and sustainability (keeping SHGs active, avoiding drop-outs) are concerns. Many of the income generation or revenue activities are tied to governmental initiatives or special programs. Whether SHGs maintain incomes / enterprises independent of external support is less clear from secondary data.

Secondary literature points to limited entrepreneurial skills, weak market linkages (especially for remote areas), insufficient technical know-how, difficulty in accessing markets or transport. These limit how far SHG members can move beyond subsistence or small-scale production.

CONCLUSION

To sustain and deepen impact, there's a need for more consistent and larger productive investment (beyond small grants), especially in enterprise development and value chain linkages. There's also a need to invest in training, both technical and managerial, to enable SHGs to manage finances, make business plans, access markets, and maintain product quality. Improving infrastructure (transport, roads, electricity, internet) is critical in remote and flood prone districts so that SHG products can reach markets and members can access inputs and information.

Monitoring and evaluation systems should be strengthened to capture outcomes over time (income growth, sustainability, drop-outs), and more disaggregated by district, social group, gender etc., to identify inequities. Policy schemes like the MMUA and schemes giving grants to SHG women to become entrepreneurs are promising; but ensuring they are accessible, transparent, and follow up support is essential.

In sum, the secondary data provide solid evidence that SHGs are transforming many dimensions of rural life in Assam: boosting financial inclusion, aiding income generation, helping women gain more decision-making power, and helping households cope with shocks. But there is room to improve: for deeper, lasting transformation, the next phase needs to focus on quality (not just quantity), agency, markets, sustainability, and bridging regional disparities.

REFERENCES

1. Islam, M. A., & Brahamachary, D. P. (2025). Women Empowerment through Self Help Groups: Evidence from Bodoland Territorial Region (BTR), Assam, India. South Eastern European Journal of Public Health. SeeJPH
2. Goriyashi Mahanta & Sujata Choudhury. Financial Independence among Rural Women in Assam through Self-Help Groups. International Journal of Finance & Banking Studies. SSBFNET
3. “Empowering Tribal Women Through Self Help Groups With Special Reference To Nayabazar Area Of Majuli District, Assam.” Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results (2022) PNR Journal
4. Dr. Sanjay Mili. Women Empowerment And Entrepreneurship: A Case Study Of The Self-Help Groups In Assam. Elementary Education Online. Ilkogretim Online
5. Chandra Kanta Patir, et al. Role of Self-Help Groups (SHGs) in Deori Women’s Empowerment and Awareness of Recent Government Schemes of Assam. AD EDUXIAN PUBLICATION. educarepublication.com